Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/981,744	RYOO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Torres	2133
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Joseph D. Torres</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ken Chae</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 April 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed:  N/A		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Ken Chae confirmed that the application was abandoned.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)